


The Next Mid-tier gold producer in the making

July 2024





Forward Looking Statement

DISCLAIMER

The information provided in this presentation is not intended to be a comprehensive review of all matters and developments concerning the Company and should be read in conjunction with all other disclosure documents of the Company. The information contained herein is not a substitute for detailed investigation or analysis. No securities commission or regulatory authority has reviewed the accuracy or adequacy of the information presented.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This presentation may contain forward-looking statements that are not historical facts. Forward Looking Information includes, but is not limited to, disclosure regarding possible events, conditions or financial performance that is based on assumptions about future economic conditions and courses of action; the timing and costs of future exploration activities on the Company's property; success of exploration activities; permitting timelines and requirements; requirements for additional capital; environmental requirements; planned exploration and development of properties and the results thereof; planned expenditures and budgets and the execution thereof. Often, but not always, forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as "expects," "plans," "estimates," "intends," "believes," "could," "might," "will", "budget", "scheduled", "forecasts", "anticipates", "potential", "base case" or variations of such words and phrases or statements that certain actions, events or results "may", "could", "would", "might" or "will be taken", "occur" or "be achieved". Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors which may cause the actual results, performance, or achievements of the Company to be materially different from any future results, performance, or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Forward looking statements or information relates to, among other things, the Company's corporate strategies, mineral resource estimates, potential mineralization and plans for further exploration, which will require additional funding. These forward-looking statements are based on management's current expectations and beliefs (including the belief in the accuracy of the resource estimate) but given the uncertainties, assumptions and risks, readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such forward-looking statements or information in this presentation is not intended to be a comprehensive review of all matters and developments con

TECHNICAL DISCLOSURE

Adam Larsen, P.Geo, is the Company's Qualified Person, as defined by the National Instrument 43-101, has reviewed and approved the scientific and technical information of this presentation.



Investment Growth Platform



Near Term Production At Goliath Gold Complex

Partially permitted, advanced development project in Ontario, which generated Pre-Feasibility Study economics of \$652 million NPV5%, and a 41.1% IRR at US\$2,150/oz Au. A feasibility study and final permits are in process.



Platform For Consolidation

Anchored by cornerstone investor Frank Giustra, a proactive strategy to evaluate potential acquisitions to create path to mid-tier producer status.



Renewed Exploration Focus


Expanded discovery based exploration the 65KM strike at Goliath, Ontario overseen by an experienced team, allowing for dual-track value realization through both development and exploration.



Well Capitalized Balance Sheet

Cash position of \$14 million will allow NexGold to accelerate development and exploration activities, while executing on plans to increase mineable resources and make new discoveries.







Board & Management



Jim Gowans

Ex President of Barrick gold, former CEO of DeBeers and prolific mine builder



Jeremy Wyeth
35 years of mine
building experience



Morgan Lekstrom

18 years in mine building, capital markets, and senior leadership.



Orin Baranowsky
25+ years in finance, over \$1B in financing for exploration and development at mining companies.



30 years experience building 5 mining operations, in senior leadership (HR, Community Relations and Sustainability).

Rachel Pineault, CHRE



Board of Directors

CHAIRMAN

Jim Gowans

Corp Gov & Nominating, Technical, Health and Safety DIRECTOR

Michele Ashby

Audit, Compensation

DIRECTOR

Paul McRae

Compensation, Corp Gov & Nominating, Technical, Health and Safety **DIRECTOR**

Margot Naudie

Audit, Corp Gov & Nominating

DIRECTOR

Andy Bowering

Audit, Compensation, Corp Gov & Nominating DIRECTOR

Rob McLeod

Technical, Health and Safety

DIRECTOR

Morgan Lekstrom

DIRECTOR

Jeremy Wyeth



Capital Structure

\$74.5M

\$14M

Market Cap

Cash

76.0M

\$8.3M


15.6M

Shares Outstanding

Convertible Debt


Warrants


(1) On a partially diluted basis
















PFS Highlights

TOTAL RECOVERED GOLD

Throughout its operational life, the project is expected to recover a total of 1.2 million ounces of gold.

OPERATING COSTS

The cash cost over the first 9 years is projected at US\$892 per ounce, with the All-In Sustaining Cost (AISC) estimated at US\$1,037 per ounce.

PRODUCTION LIFE & OUTPUT

The project is planned for a 13-year Life of Mine (LOM), with an average annual gold production of 109,000 ounces from years 1 to 9.

FINANCIAL PROJECTIONS AT DIFFERENT GOLD PRICES

At a gold price of US\$1,750 per ounce, the project boasts a Net Present Value (NPV) of \$336 million with a 5% discount rate and a 25.4% Internal Rate of Return (IRR) post-tax. When the gold price increases to US\$2,150 per ounce, the NPV rises to \$652 million with a post-tax IRR of 41.4%.

CAPITAL EFFICIENCY & PAYBACK PERIOD

The project demonstrates low capital intensity with pre-production capital expenditures estimated at \$335 million. It features a quick payback period of 1.92 years at \$2150 Au.


PROJECT CHARACTERISTICS AND POTENTIAL

The mining project is characterized as technically simple, enhancing its feasibility and efficiency. It also holds significant potential for further exploration, suggesting the possibility of expanding resource estimates and extending the mine's life.



Production profile,

116K ounces per year for first 7 years.



MARCH 2023 PFS HIGHLIGHTS

- 13yr mine life with average production >100koz Au in first 9 years
- 6.5ktpd gravity-CIL plant; average Au recovery 92.8%
- C\$336mm Post-tax NPV_{5%} and 25.4% IRR at US\$1,750 Au
- LOM Cash Cost US\$935/oz; LOM AISC US\$1,072/oz
- C\$335mm initial capex, 2.8yr payback
- LOM C\$1.3B EBITDA and post-tax FCF of C\$869mm
 - Annualized EBITDA of C\$145mm, FCF of C\$106mm, Cash Cost US\$820/oz and US\$1,008/oz AISC in first 5 years of production,
- At Spot Prices (US\$2,300 Au, US\$26.50 Ag, FX \$0.73);
 - C\$808mm Post-tax NPV $_{5\%}$ and 48.3% IRR
 - LOM C\$2.2B EBITDA and post-tax FCF of C\$1.5B
 - Annualized EBITDA and post-tax FCF of C\$278mm and C\$200mm in first 5 years of production
 - 1.7yr payback

12



Development Path





Exploration Portfolio Upside Growth Potential

Newcrest Acquires Brucejack Mine from Pretium for \$2.7B

Seabridge Acquires Snowfield from Pretium for \$100M

Skeena Acquiers QuestEX for \$36M

Ascot Acquires Red Mtn from IDM for \$32M



BC AK


2.5km


Away from Mill being built

15km


NW of the deepwater port of Stewart

NIBLACK









Investment Highlights



Partnership to bring together complementary skillsets



Renewed drive towards production at GGC



Platform for continued growth through consolidation



Strong balance sheet and shareholder base



Refreshed organic exploration upside

16



Contact



Call Us

Toronto Office +1 (416) 214 4654

Toll Free +1 (855) 664 4654



Chat with us

All Inquires info@nexgold.com

Fax +1 (844) 984 3639







Goliath Gold Complex NI 43-101 Mineral Reserve Estimate

| Deposit | Quantity ('000 tonnes) | Grade Gold (g/t) | Contained Gold ('000 oz) | Grade Silver (g/t) | Contained Silver ('000 oz) |
|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|
| Open Pit – Goliath | | | | | |
| Proven | 3,969 | 1.05 | 134 | 3.22 | 410 |
| Probable | 5,580 | 0.67 | 119 | 2.20 | 395 |
| Proven & Probable | 9,549 | 0.83 | 254 | 2.62 | 805 |
| Open Pit – Goldlund | | | | | |
| Proven | - | - | - | - | - |
| Probable | 16,256 | 1.19 | 621 | - | - |
| Proven & Probable | 16,256 | 1.19 | 621 | - | - |
| Open Pit – Miller | | | | | |
| Proven | - | - | - | - | - |
| Probable | 738 | 1.03 | 24 | - | - |
| Proven & Probable | 738 | 1.03 | 24 | - | - |
| Underground – Goliath | | | | | |
| Proven | 596 | 3.96 | 76 | 16.73 | 321 |
| Probable | 3,180 | 2.85 | 292 | 5.85 | 598 |
| Proven & Probable | 3,776 | 3.03 | 368 | 7.56 | 918 |
| Total | | | | | |
| Proven | 4,565 | 1.43 | 210 | 4.98 | 731 |
| Probable | 25,754 | 1.28 | 1,057 | 1.20 | 993 |
| Total Proven & Probable | 30,319 | 1.30 | 1,267 | 1.77 | 1,724 |

Notes on Mineral Reserves

1. Mineral reserves with an effective date of December 31, 2022 are founded on and included within the mineral resource estimates, with an effective date of January 17, 2022. 2. Mineral reserves were developed in accordance with CIM Definition Standards (2014). 3. Open pit mineral reserves incorporate 10%, 7% and 9% dilution for Goliath, Goldlund and Miller, respectively. Open pit mineral reserves include 1% loss for Goliath and Miller, no losses are included for Goldlund. Goliath underground mineral reserves include 5% dilution and 0% loss for development. For stopes at Goliath underground, the mineral reserves include 15% dilution (both downhole and uphole stopes) and 90% (downhole) and 80% (uphole) recovery. 4. Open pit mineral reserves are reported based on open pit mining within designed pits above cut-off values of C\$15.22/t, C\$16.00/t and C\$23.63/t for Goliath, Goldlund and Miller, respectively. Goliath underground mineral reserves are reported based on underground mining within designed underground stopes above a mill feed cut-off value of C\$107.66/t (inclusive of 15% mining dilution). The cut-off values are based on a gold price of US\$1,550/oz Au, a silver price of US\$2, transportation costs of C\$5/oz Au, payabilities of 99% Au and 97% Ag, LOM average gold recoveries of 94.2% for Goliath, 94.3% for Goldlund and 94.0% for Miller, and a silver recovery of 60% for Goliath. 5. Underground mineral reserves following Year 13 have been removed from the LOM plan and thus are excluded in the mineral reserve table above. Some low grade Goldlund material above cut-off is not fed to the plant and therefore not included in the mineral reserves. 6. The Qualified Person for the underground mineral reserve estimate is Colleen MacDougall, Peng; and the Qualified Person for the underground mineral reserve setimate is Sean Kautzman, Peng, both are SRK Consulting (Canada) Inc. employees. 7. Rounding may result in apparent summation differences between tonnes, grade and contained metal.

19



Goliath Gold Complex NI 43-101 Mineral Resource Estimate

| Deposit | Cut-off Grade (g/t) | Quantity ('000 tonnes) | Grade Gold (g/t) | Contained Gold ('000 oz) |
|------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| Measured Resources | | | | |
| Goliath Open Pit | 0.25 | 6,223 | 1.20 | 240 |
| Goliath Underground | 2.2 | 170 | 6.24 | 34 |
| Total Measured | | 6,393 | 1.33 | 274 |
| Indicated Resources | | | | |
| Goliath Open Pit | 0.3 | 23,081 | 0.75 | 559 |
| Goliath Underground | 2.2 | 2,550 | 3.55 | 291 |
| Goldlund Open Pit | 0.3 | 33,353 | 0.85 | 911 |
| Goldlund Underground | 2.2 | 222 | 4.06 | 29 |
| Miller Open Pit | 0.3 | 2,112 | 1.10 | 75 |
| Total Indicated | | 61,318 | 0.95 | 1,865 |
| Total Measured and Indicated | | 67,711 | 0.98 | 2,139 |
| Inferred Resources | | | | |
| Goliath Open Pit | 0.3 | 3,330 | 0.66 | 70 |
| Goliath Underground | 2.2 | 48 | 2.95 | 5 |
| Goldlund Open Pit | 0.3 | 28,833 | 0.73 | 680 |
| Goldlund Underground | 2.2 | 222 | 3.26 | 23 |
| Miller Open Pit | 0.3 | 138 | 1.01 | 5 |
| Total Inferred | | 32,571 | 0.75 | 783 |

Notes on Mineral Resources:

1. Mineral Resources were estimated by ordinary kriging by Dr. Gilles Arseneau, associate consultant of SRK Consulting (Canada) Inc., Mineral Resources were prepared in accordance with NI 43-101 and the CIM Definition Standards for Mineral Resources and Mineral Resources and Mineral Resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, sociopolitical, marketing, or other relevant issues. Mineral Resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. 2. Mineral Resource effective date January 17, 2022. 3. Goliath Open Pit Mineral Resources are reported within an optimized constraining shell at a cut-off grade of 0.25g/t gold that is based on a gold price of US\$1,700/oz, a silver price of US\$23/oz, and a gold and silver processing recovery of 93.873*Au(g/t)^0.0527. 5. Miller Open Pit Mineral Resources are reported within an optimized constraining shell at a cut-off grade of 0.3g/t gold that is based on a gold price of US\$1,700/oz and a gold processing recovery of 90.344xAu(g/t)^0.0527. 5. Miller Open Pit Mineral Resources are reported within an optimized constraining shell at a cut-off grade of 0.3 g/t gold that is based on a gold price of US\$1,700/oz and a gold processing recovery of 93.873*Au(g/t)^0.0527. 5. Miller Open Pit Mineral Resources are reported inside shapes generated from Deswick Mining Stope Optimiser (DSO) at a cut-off grade of 2.2g/t gold that is based on a gold price of US\$1,700/oz, a silver price of US\$1,700/oz and a gold and silver processing recovery of 93.873*Au(g/t)^0.021 and 60% respectively. 7. Goldlund Underground Mineral Resources are reported inside DSO shapes at a cut-off grade of 2.2g/t gold that is based on a gold price of US\$1,700/oz and a gold processing recovery of 90.344xAu(g/t)^0.0527. 8. Gold and Silver assays were capped prior to compositing based on probability plot analysis for each individual zones. Assays were composited to 1.5 m for Goliath, 2.0 m for Goliath, 2.0 m for Goliath on and 1.0 m for Miller. 9.



Goliath Gold Deposit Mineral Resource Estimate

| Goliath Open Pit | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|---------|-------------|----------|--------|----------|---------|--|--|
| Classification | Cut-Off | Tonnes (kt) | Au (g/t) | Au koz | Ag (g/t) | Ag koz | | |
| Measured | 0.25 | 6,223 | 1.20 | 239.5 | 4.70 | 940.6 | | |
| Indicated | 0.25 | 23,081 | 0.75 | 559.4 | 2.53 | 1,878.5 | | |
| Meas+ Ind | 0.25 | 29,304 | 0.85 | 798.9 | 2.99 | 2,819.1 | | |
| Inferred | 0.25 | 3,330 | 0.66 | 70.2 | 0.80 | 85.2 | | |

^{1.} Refer to the Notes on the Mineral Resource Estimate in slide 20 of this Presentation

| Goliath Underground | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---------|-------------|----------|--------|----------|--------|--|--|
| Classification | Cut-Off | Tonnes (kt) | Au (g/t) | Au koz | Ag (g/t) | Ag koz | | |
| Measured | 2.2 | 170 | 6.24 | 34.1 | 22.34 | 122.1 | | |
| Indicated | 2.2 | 2,550 | 3.55 | 291.0 | 7.08 | 580.8 | | |
| Meas+ Ind | 2.2 | 2,720 | 3.72 | 325.1 | 8.04 | 702.9 | | |
| Inferred | 2.2 | 48 | 2.95 | 4.6 | 4.06 | 6.3 | | |

^{1.} Refer to the Notes on the Mineral Resource Estimate in slide 20 of this Presentation



Goldlund Deposit Mineral Resource Estimate

| Goldlund Open Pit | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|---------|-------------|----------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| Classification | Cut-Off | Tonnes (kt) | Au (g/t) | Au koz | | | | |
| Measured | 0.3 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | | | | |
| Indicated | 0.3 | 33,353 | 0.85 | 911.0 | | | | |
| Meas+ Ind | 0.3 | 33,353 | 0.85 | 911.0 | | | | |
| Inferred | 0.3 | 28,833 | 0.73 | 680.2 | | | | |

^{1.} Refer to the Notes on the Mineral Resource Estimate in slide 20 of this Presentation

| Goldlund Underground | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---------|-------------|----------|--------|--|--|--|
| Classification | Cut-Off | Tonnes (kt) | Au (g/t) | Au koz | | | |
| Measured | 2.2 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | | | |
| Indicated | 2.2 | 222 | 4.06 | 29.0 | | | |
| Meas+ Ind | 2.2 | 222 | 4.06 | 29.0 | | | |
| Inferred | 2.2 | 222 | 3.26 | 23.3 | | | |

^{1.} Refer to the Notes on the Mineral Resource Estimate in slide 20 of this Presentation



Miller Deposit Mineral Resource Estimate

| Miller Open Pit | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------|-------------|----------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| Classification | Cut-Off | Tonnes (kt) | Au (g/t) | Au koz | | | | |
| Measured | 0.3 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | | | | |
| Indicated | 0.3 | 2,112 | 1.10 | 74.6 | | | | |
| Meas+ Ind | 0.3 | 2,112 | 1.10 | 74.6 | | | | |
| Inferred | 0.3 | 138 | 1.01 | 4.5 | | | | |

^{1.} Refer to the Notes on the Mineral Resource Estimate in slide 20 of this Presentation

SUCCESSFUL CONVERSION

of more than 90% of Inferred material to Indicated category



Open Pit Cut-Off Grade Sensitivity

| Class | G | Soliath Open Pit(| January 17, 20 |)22) |
|----------|---------|-------------------|----------------|---------|
| Ca | Cut-off | Tonnes | Au Grade | Au |
| | (g/t) | (t) | (g/t) | (Oz) |
| | >0.6 | 2,824,000 | 2.18 | 197,800 |
| | >0.5 | 3,321,000 | 1.93 | 206,600 |
| Measured | >0.4 | 4,122,000 | 1.65 | 218,100 |
| Meas | >0.3 | 5,397,000 | 1.34 | 232,200 |
| | >0.25 | 6,223,000 | 1.20 | 239,500 |
| | >0.2 | 7,092,000 | 1.08 | 245,800 |
| | >0.6 | 8,441,000 | 1.41 | 384,000 |
| | >0.5 | 10,369,000 | 1.25 | 417,800 |
| ndicated | >0.4 | 13,452,000 | 1.07 | 462,000 |
| Indic | >0.3 | 18,966,000 | 0.86 | 523,200 |
| | >0.25 | 23,081,000 | 0.75 | 559,400 |
| | >0.2 | 28,168,000 | 0.66 | 596,100 |
| | >0.6 | 1,185,000 | 1.16 | 44,000 |
| | >0.5 | 1,477,000 | 1.04 | 49,200 |
| nferred | >0.4 | 2,003,000 | 0.88 | 56,700 |
| Infe | >0.3 | 2,785,000 | 0.73 | 65,500 |
| | >0.25 | 3,330,000 | 0.66 | 70,300 |
| | >0.2 | 4,095,000 | 0.58 | 75,700 |

| SS | Go | oldlund Open Pit | (January 17, 2 | 022) |
|----------|---------|------------------|----------------|-----------|
| Class | Cut-off | Tonnes | Au Grade | Au |
| | (g/t) | (t) | (g/t) | (Oz) |
| | >0.6 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| | >0.5 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Measured | >0.4 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Meas | >0.3 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| | >0.25 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| | >0.2 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| | >0.6 | 15,489,000 | 1.34 | 667,400 |
| | >0.5 | 19,594,000 | 1.17 | 739,500 |
| ndicated | >0.4 | 25,261,000 | 1.01 | 820,900 |
| Indic | >0.3 | 33,353,000 | 0.85 | 911,000 |
| | >0.25 | 38,706,000 | 0.77 | 958,100 |
| | >0.2 | 45,218,000 | 0.69 | 1,005,000 |
| | >0.6 | 13,903,000 | 1.06 | 471,800 |
| | >0.5 | 17,956,000 | 0.94 | 542,800 |
| nferred | >0.4 | 22,850,000 | 0.83 | 613,300 |
| Infe | >0.3 | 28,833,000 | 0.73 | 680,200 |
| | >0.25 | 32,137,000 | 0.69 | 709,300 |
| | >0.2 | 35,569,000 | 0.64 | 734,100 |

| SS | | Miller Open Pit(. | January 17, 20 | 22) |
|-----------|---------|-------------------|----------------|--------|
| Class | Cut-off | Tonnes | Au Grade | Au |
| | (g/t) | (t) | (g/t) | (Oz) |
| | >0.6 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| | >0.5 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| urec | >0.4 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Measured | >0.3 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| | >0.25 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| | >0.2 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| | >0.6 | 1,286,000 | 1.52 | 63,000 |
| | >0.5 | 1,510,000 | 1.38 | 66,900 |
| ated | >0.4 | 1,794,000 | 1.23 | 71,100 |
| Indicated | >0.3 | 2,112,000 | 1.10 | 74,600 |
| | >0.25 | 2,302,000 | 1.03 | 76,300 |
| | >0.2 | 2,503,000 | 0.97 | 77,800 |
| | >0.6 | 80,000 | 1.43 | 3,700 |
| | >0.5 | 94,000 | 1.30 | 3,900 |
| Inferred | >0.4 | 112,000 | 1.17 | 4,200 |
| Infe | >0.3 | 138,000 | 1.01 | 4,500 |
| | >0.25 | 151,000 | 0.95 | 4,600 |
| | >0.2 | 163,000 | 0.89 | 4,700 |



Comparison Between 2022 MRE and 2021 PEA MRE

| | Comparison - Goliath Gold Complex Total | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---|-------|--------------|----------|--------------|----------|--------------|----------|--------------|-----------|
| Deposit | Туре | Class | Cut-Of | f Grade | Tonne | s (kt) | Au (| g/t) | Au (| (Oz) |
| Deposit | туре | Class | 2021 PEA MRE | 2022 MRE |
| Goliath | Open Pit | Meas | 0.25 | 0.25 | 1,471 | 6,223 | 1.90 | 1.20 | 90,000 | 239,500 |
| Goliath | Underground | Meas | 1.60 | 2.20 | 98 | 170 | 4.94 | 6.24 | 16,000 | 34,100 |
| Total Measured | | | | | 1,569 | 6,393 | 2.09 | 1.33 | 105,000 | 273,600 |
| Goliath | Open Pit | Ind | 0.25 | 0.25 | 26,956 | 23,081 | 0.87 | 0.75 | 757,000 | 559,400 |
| Goliath | Underground | Ind | 1.60 | 2.20 | 2,592 | 2,550 | 3.16 | 3.55 | 263,000 | 291,000 |
| Goldlund | Open Pit | Ind | 0.26 | 0.30 | 24,300 | 33,353 | 1.07 | 0.85 | 840,000 | 911,000 |
| Goldlund | Underground | Ind | ND | 2.20 | 0 | 222 | ND | 4.06 | 0 | 29,000 |
| Miller | Open Pit | Ind | ND | 0.30 | 0 | 2,112 | ND | 1.10 | 0 | 74,600 |
| Total Indicated | | | | | 53,848 | 61,318 | 1.07 | 0.95 | 1,860,000 | 1,865,000 |
| Total Measured & Inc | licated | | | | 55,417 | 67,711 | 1.10 | 0.98 | 1,965,000 | 2,138,600 |
| Goliath | Open Pit | Inf | 0.25 | 0.25 | 3,644 | 3,330 | 0.65 | 0.66 | 76,000 | 70,200 |
| Goliath | Underground | Inf | 1.60 | 2.20 | 704 | 48 | 2.75 | 2.98 | 62,000 | 4,600 |
| Goldlund | Open Pit | Inf | 0.26 | 0.30 | 14,400 | 28,833 | 0.56 | 0.73 | 260,000 | 680,200 |
| Goldlund | Underground | Inf | 1.60 | 2.20 | 233 | 222 | 6.80 | 3.26 | 51,000 | 23,300 |
| Miller | Open Pit | Inf | 0.26 | 0.30 | 1,981 | 138 | 1.24 | 1.01 | 79,000 | 4,500 |
| Total Inferred | | | | | 20,962 | 32,571 | 0.78 | 0.75 | 528,000 | 782,800 |

^{1.} The reader is cautioned not to misconstrue this tabulation as a Mineral Resource estimate. Listed Gold ounces, grades and tonnes are shown for comparison purposes only

^{2.} Mineral Resource statement, including a breakdown of contained metal ounces and grades by gold and silver, can be found in slide 28 of this Presentation

^{3.} Mineral Resources are reported above a cut-off grade in which cut-off grade accounts for metallurgical recoveries of Au, and Ag as well as underlying cost and metal price assumptions

^{4.} The gold (US\$1,700/oz) and silver (US\$23/oz) price assumptions used in the MRE are consistent with the metal price assumptions employed within the PEA MRE

^{5.} Additional information on the 2021 PEA MRE is set out in the PEA, available under the Company profile at www.sedarplus.ca.



Input Parameter Comparison – 2022 MRE vs. 2021 PEA MRE

| Parameters | Units | 2021 PEA MRE | 2022 MRE |
|------------------------------------|----------|--------------|------------------|
| Gold Price | \$/oz Au | 1,700 | 1,700 |
| Silver Price | \$/oz Ag | 23 | 23 |
| US\$ to CAD | | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| Recovery / Regression Au Goliath | % | 95.5 | 93.873*Au^0.021 |
| Recovery / Regression Au Goldlund | % | 89 | 90.344xAu^0.0527 |
| Recovery / Regression Au Miller | % | 89 | 93.873*Au^0.021 |
| Recovery Ag Goliath | % | 62.6 | 60 |
| Goliath Open Pit Cut-off Grade | g/t | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| Goldlund Open Pit Cut-off Grade | g/t | 0.26 | 0.30 |
| Miller Open Pit Cut-off Grade | g/t | 0.26 | 0.30 |
| Goliath Underground Cut-off Grade | g/t | 1.60 | 2.20 |
| Goldlund Underground Cut-off Grade | g/t | 1.60 | 2.20 |

^{1.} Additional information on the 2021 PEA MRE is set out in the PEA, available under the Company profile at www.sedarplus.ca. See Slide 20 of this Presentation for additional information on the 2022 MRE.



PFS Economic Sensitivity Analysis

Significant leverage to gold price

\$493M NPV5% post-tax at US\$1,950 gold price

| Gold Price US\$/Oz | Post-tax NPV5% Base Case | Initial Capex | | Total OPEX | | FX | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|--------|------------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | (-20%) | (+20%) | (-20%) | (+20%) | (-10%) | (+10%) |
| \$1,550 | \$178 | \$242 | \$114 | \$321 | \$30 | \$315 | \$64 |
| \$1,650 | \$257 | \$321 | \$193 | \$400 | \$113 | \$402 | \$137 |
| \$1,750 | \$336 | \$400 | \$271 | \$479 | \$192 | \$490 | \$209 |
| \$1,850 | \$414 | \$478 | \$350 | \$557 | \$271 | \$577 | \$281 |
| \$1,950 | \$493 | \$557 | \$428 | \$635 | \$349 | \$664 | \$352 |

| Gold Price US\$/OZ | Post-tax IRR Base Case | Initial CAPEX | | Total OPEX | | FX | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------------|--------|------------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | (-20%) | (+20%) | (-20%) | (+20%) | (-10%) | (+10%) |
| \$1,550 | 16.6% | 23.8% | 11.4% | 24.0% | 7.2% | 24.3% | 9.4% |
| \$1,650 | 21.1% | 29.1% | 15.4% | 28.1% | 13.0% | 29.0% | 14.1% |
| \$1,750 | 25.4% | 34.2% | 19.3% | 32.1% | 17.9% | 33.4% | 18.4% |
| \$1,850 | 29.6% | 39.0% | 22.9% | 35.9% | 22.5% | 37.6% | 22.4% |
| \$1,950 | 33.5% | 43.7% | 26.3% | 39.9% | 26.9% | 41.7% | 26.2% |